Functors and Applicative Functors

Kenneth Lai

Brandeis University

October 19, 2022

Functors and Applicative Functors

Kenneth Lai

Brandeis University

October 19, 2022



Announcements

- By 11:59pm tonight
 - ► HW2 due
 - Paper Presentation Ideas due
 - Don't worry if you're still waiting for a group-I'm still matching people up
- For next Monday
 - Read Huth and Ryan Chapter 5.1, 5.2, 3.2
- ► For 11/2
 - ► HW3 due
- ► For 11/9
 - Final Project Ideas due
 - More details next week

Today's Plan

- Extension and Intension: Two Ideas
- Functors
- Applicative Functors

ldea 1: If the interpretation of something in an extensional model has type α , then its intensional interpretation has type $s \to \alpha$, where s is the type of possible worlds (World)

- ldea 1: If the interpretation of something in an extensional model has type α , then its intensional interpretation has type $s \to \alpha$, where s is the type of possible worlds (World)
 - ▶ Introduce abbreviations for types World → Entity and World → Bool

```
type IEntity = World -> Entity
type IBool = World -> Bool
```

```
iSnowWhite :: IEntity
iSnowWhite W1 = snowWhite
iSnowWhite W2 = snowWhite'
iSnowWhite W3 = snowWhite'
iGirl, iPrincess, iPerson :: World -> Entity -> Bool
iGirl W1 = girl
iGirl W2 = girl'
iGirl W3 = girl'
iPrincess W1 = princess
iPrincess W2 = princess'
iPrincess W3 = girl'
iPerson W1 = person
iPerson W2 = person'
iPerson W3 = person'
```

```
iLaugh, iShudder :: World -> Entity -> Bool
iLaugh W1 = laugh
iLaugh W2 = laugh'
iLaugh W3 = laugh'
iShudder W1 = shudder
iShudder W2 = shudder'
iShudder W3 = shudder'
iCatch :: World -> Entity -> Entity -> Bool
iCatch W1 = \ x y -> False
iCatch W2 = \ x y -> False
iCatch W3 = \ x y \rightarrow elem x [B,R,T] \&\& girl' y
```

▶ Idea 2: If the extensional interpretation of a linguistic expression has some type, then its intensional interpretation has the type that replaces all instances of e with $s \rightarrow e$ (IEntity) and all instances of t with t (IBool)

▶ Some are easier than others...

```
iSent :: Sent -> IBool
iSent (Sent np vp) = iNP np (iVP vp)
iNP :: NP -> (IEntity -> IBool) -> IBool
iNP SnowWhite = \ p -> p iSnowWhite
iNP (NP1 det cn) = iDET det (iCN cn)
iVP :: VP -> IEntity -> IBool
iVP Laughed = \ x i \rightarrow iLaugh i (x i)
iVP Shuddered = \ x i -> iShudder i (x i)
iCN :: CN -> IEntity -> IBool
iCN Girl = \ x i \rightarrow iGirl i (x i)
iCN Princess = \ x i -> iPrincess i (x i)
```

Some are easier than others...

```
iNP Everyone = \ \ p \ i \rightarrow all \ (\x \rightarrow p \ (\j \rightarrow x) \ i)
        (filter (\y -> iPerson i y) entities)
iNP Someone = \ \ p \ i \rightarrow any \ (\x \rightarrow p \ (\j \rightarrow x) \ i)
        (filter (\y -> iPerson i y) entities)
iDET :: DET -> (IEntity -> IBool)
                -> (IEntity -> IBool) -> IBool
iDET Some p q = \langle i -\rangle any (\langle x -\rangle q (\langle j -\rangle x) i)
        (filter (\x -> p (\j -> x) i) entities)
iDET Every p q = \ i \rightarrow all (\x \rightarrow q (\j \rightarrow x) i)
        (filter (x \rightarrow p ( j \rightarrow x) i) entities)
iDET No p q = \ i \rightarrow not (any (\x -> q (\j -> x) i)
        (filter (x \rightarrow p (j \rightarrow x) i) entities))
```

- There is method to this madness!
 - We can express the intensionalization process in terms of functors, in particular, applicative functors

- ► I/O types are boxes
 - ▶ IO a is the type of a function that performs an I/O action and returns an object of type a in a box

- ► I/O types are boxes
 - IO a is the type of a function that performs an I/O action and returns an object of type a in a box
- Lists are boxes
 - ▶ Learn You a Haskell book: "You can think of a list as a box that has an infinite amount of little compartments and they can all be empty, one can be full and the others empty or a number of them can be full."

Suppose we have a function of type (a -> b), and (an) object(s) of type a in a box. How can we apply the function to the object(s)?

- Suppose we have a function of type (a -> b), and (an) object(s) of type a in a box. How can we apply the function to the object(s)?
- ▶ Lists: map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
 - "The function map takes a function and a list and returns a list containing the results of applying the function to the individual list members."

► I/O: something like this

```
iomap :: (a -> b) -> IO a -> IO b
iomap f action = do
   result <- action
  return (f result)</pre>
```

► I/O: something like this

```
iomap :: (a -> b) -> IO a -> IO b
iomap f action = do
   result <- action
  return (f result)</pre>
```

- Bind the result of action to result
- Apply f to result and put it in a box

Functors are boxes

```
class Functor F where
    fmap :: (a -> b) -> F a -> F b
```

► Functors are boxes

class Functor F where
 fmap :: (a -> b) -> F a -> F b

- Functor is a type class that contains types that can be "mapped" over
 - ► F is a polymorphic type (i.e., type constructor)

Functors are boxes

```
class Functor F where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> F a -> F b
```

- Functor is a type class that contains types that can be "mapped" over
 - ► F is a polymorphic type (i.e., type constructor)

```
instance Functor [] where
  fmap = map
```

```
instance Functor IO where
  fmap f action = do
    result <- action
    return (f result)</pre>
```

Functors are boxes

```
class Functor F where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> F a -> F b
```

fmap takes a function (of type a -> b) and a box of a and outputs a box of b

Functors are boxes

```
class Functor F where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> F a -> F b
```

- fmap takes a function (of type a -> b) and a box of a and outputs a box of b
- ► Alternatively, fmap takes a function (of type a -> b) and lifts it to a function over boxes (of type F a -> F b)

- Functor laws (from the Learn You a Haskell book):
 - "All functors are expected to exhibit certain kinds of functor-like properties and behaviors.
 - They should reliably behave as things that can be mapped over.
 - Calling fmap on a functor should just map a function over the functor, nothing more.
 - ▶ This behavior is described in the functor laws."

- Functor laws (from the Learn You a Haskell book):
 - "All functors are expected to exhibit certain kinds of functor-like properties and behaviors.
 - They should reliably behave as things that can be mapped over.
 - Calling fmap on a functor should just map a function over the functor, nothing more.
 - ▶ This behavior is described in the functor laws."
- ▶ Identity: fmap id = id
- ► Composition: fmap (g . f) = fmap g . fmap f

- Functor laws (from the Learn You a Haskell book):
 - "All functors are expected to exhibit certain kinds of functor-like properties and behaviors.
 - They should reliably behave as things that can be mapped over.
 - Calling fmap on a functor should just map a function over the functor, nothing more.
 - This behavior is described in the functor laws."
- ldentity: fmap id = id
- ► Composition: fmap (g . f) = fmap g . fmap f
- That is, functors must preserve identity and composition of functions
 - Haskell will not enforce this for you-you have to do it yourself

- Functors are boxes
 - That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type a -> b) to functions over boxes (of type F a -> F b)

- Functors represent context
 - That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type a -> b) to functions of objects in context (of type F a -> F b)

- ► Functors represent context
 - That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type a -> b) to functions of objects in context (of type F a -> F b)
 - ▶ IO: input/output
 - []: nondeterminism

- ► We want to add two numbers, but we don't know what they are
- ► All we know is that we have two boxes of numbers, [0,2] and [1,2]
- We pick a number from the first box and a number from the second box, and add them
- ► What are our possible results?

- ▶ We want to add two numbers, but we don't know what they are
- ► All we know is that we have two boxes of numbers, [0,2] and [1,2]
- We pick a number from the first box and a number from the second box, and add them
- What are our possible results?
 - \triangleright [0+1,0+2,2+1,2+2] = [1,2,3,4]

► We have a function of numbers and a box of numbers, let's map the function over the list

map
$$(+)$$
 $[0,2] = [(0+),(2+)]$

We have a function of numbers and a box of numbers, let's map the function over the list

map
$$(+)$$
 $[0,2]$ = $[(0+),(2+)]$

- Now we have a box of functions
 - How can we extract the functions and apply them to the second box of numbers?

Applicative Functors

```
class (Functor F) => Applicative F where
   pure :: a -> F a
   (<*>) :: F (a -> b) -> F a -> F b
```

Applicative Functors

```
class (Functor F) => Applicative F where
   pure :: a -> F a
   (<*>) :: F (a -> b) -> F a -> F b
```

- pure takes a value (of type a) and puts it in a box (of type F a)
- (<*>) takes a box of functions (of type F (a -> b)) and returns a function of boxes (of type F a -> F b)

Applicative Functors

```
class (Functor F) => Applicative F where
   pure :: a -> F a
   (<*>) :: F (a -> b) -> F a -> F b
```

- pure takes a value (of type a) and puts it in a default context (of type F a)
- (<*>) takes a function in a context (of type F (a -> b)) and returns a function of objects in context (of type F a -> F b)

► Lists are applicative functors

```
instance Applicative [] where
  pure x = [x]
  fs <*> xs = [f x | f <- fs, x <- xs]</pre>
```

Lists are applicative functors

```
instance Applicative [] where
  pure x = [x]
  fs <*> xs = [f x | f <- fs, x <- xs]</pre>
```

- pure makes a singleton list
- <*> takes each function f in fs and each argument x in xs, applies f to x, and puts it in a list

► Lists are applicative functors

```
instance Applicative [] where
  pure x = [x]
  fs <*> xs = [f x | f <- fs, x <- xs]</pre>
```

- pure makes a singleton list
- <*> takes each function f in fs and each argument x in xs, applies f to x, and puts it in a list

$$(fmap (+) [0,2]) <*> [1,2] = [1,2,3,4]$$

Can also be written (+) <\$> [0,2] <*> [1,2] = [1,2,3,4], where <\$> is an infix version of fmap

► I/O types are applicative functors

```
instance Applicative IO where
  pure = return
  a <*> b = do
     f <- a
     x <- b
     return (f x)</pre>
```

► I/O types are applicative functors

```
instance Applicative IO where
   pure = return
   a <*> b = do
    f <- a
       x <- b
    return (f x)</pre>
```

- pure puts its argument in an IO box
- <*> binds the contents of a and b to f and x respectively, applies f to x, and puts it in an IO box

- Applicative laws:
- ► Identity: pure id <*> v = v
- ► Composition: pure (.) <*> u <*> v <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
- Homomorphism: pure f <*> pure x = pure (f x)
- ▶ Interchange: u <*> pure y = pure (\$ y) <*> u

- Applicative laws:
- ► Identity: pure id <*> v = v
- ► Composition: pure (.) <*> u <*> v <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
- Homomorphism: pure f <*> pure x = pure (f x)
- ▶ Interchange: u <*> pure y = pure (\$ y) <*> u
- ▶ Bonus: pure f <*> x = fmap f x = f <\$> x

- Functors are boxes
 - ► That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type a -> b) to functions over boxes (of type F a -> F b)

- Functors are boxes
 - That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type a -> b) to functions over boxes (of type F a -> F b)
- Applicative functors are boxes that support function application
 - ► If you have a function in a box (F (a -> b)), you can apply it to a box (F a) to get another box (F b)

- Functors represent context
 - ► That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type a -> b) to functions over context (of type F a -> F b)
- Applicative functors represent contexts that support function application
 - ▶ If you have a function in a context (F (a -> b)), you can apply it to an object in context (F a) to get another object in context (F b)

Functions as Functors

```
instance Functor ((->) r) where fmap f g = (\x -> f (g x))
```

► (Technically, functions that take arguments of type r are functors, where r is any type)

Functions as Functors

```
instance Functor ((->) r) where fmap f g = (\x -> f (g x))
```

- ► (Technically, functions that take arguments of type r are functors, where r is any type)
- A function of type r -> a can be seen as an object (of type a) that depends on the context (of type r)
 - Can also be seen as a box containing the eventual result of running the function

Functions as Functors

```
instance Functor ((->) r) where fmap f g = (\x -> f (g x))
```

- ► (Technically, functions that take arguments of type r are functors, where r is any type)
- A function of type r -> a can be seen as an object (of type a) that depends on the context (of type r)
 - Can also be seen as a box containing the eventual result of running the function
- Note that fmap is just function composition
 - ▶ fmap = (.)

Functions as Applicative Functors

```
instance Applicative ((->) r) where

pure x = (\setminus -> x)

f <*> g = \setminus x -> f x (g x)
```

Functions as Applicative Functors

```
instance Applicative ((->) r) where

pure x = (\setminus -> x)

f <*> g = \setminus x -> f x (g x)
```

- pure takes a value (of type a) and makes a "default" function (of type r -> a)
 - ► The most "default" function is the one that, no matter the argument, always outputs that value

Functions as Applicative Functors

```
instance Applicative ((->) r) where

pure x = (\setminus -> x)

f \ll g = \setminus x -> f x (g x)
```

- pure takes a value (of type a) and makes a "default" function (of type r -> a)
 - ► The most "default" function is the one that, no matter the argument, always outputs that value
- <*> is a function that
 - Takes functions f :: r -> a -> b and g :: r -> a, and a context x :: r
 - Applies both f and g to x (to get (f x) :: a -> b and (g x) :: a)
 - Applies (f x) to (g x) to get a result of type b
- ▶ <*> :: (r -> a -> b) -> (r -> a) -> r -> b